

United States Patent and Trademark Office





APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/921,542	(08/03/2001	Daniel L. Schwarz	P-5204	6838
26253	7590	12/17/2002			
		SON AND COMP	EXAMINER		
I BECTON FRANKLIN		NJ 07417-1880		SORKIN, DAVID L	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1723 DATE MAILED: 12/17/2002	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	~						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	_	09/921,542	SCHWARZ ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		David L. Sorkin	1723				
Period f	Th MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	app ars on the cover she t with the	corr spondenc address				
THE - External control	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF rSIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the model patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to a common of thirty (30) deriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro atute, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	11 January 2002 .					
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und						
· ·	tion of Claims	P					
4)[Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the applica						
E _	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	urawn from consideration.					
5)□	` ,						
6) <u></u> 7)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction and	or election requirement					
	tion Papers	ror cicolion requirement.					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) _ a	ccepted or b) objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disapp	roved by the Examiner.				
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	• •					
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	l All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in Applica	tion No				
* ;	3. Copies of the certified copies of the paper application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·				
14) 🗌 ,	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).				
á	a) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional application has been re	eceived.				
Attachmer		. ,					
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
							

Application/Control Number: 09/921,542

Art Unit: 1723

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-10, drawn to a magnetic stirring apparatus, classified in class 366, subclass 273.
 - II. Claims 11-18, drawn to a method of stirring a solid suspended in liquid, classified in class 366, subclass 348.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In the instant case, while the method is limited to "stirring a solid suspended in a liquid", the apparatus could be used to stir other types of material such as a liquid alone. While the apparatus claim includes the words "stirring a solid suspended in a liquid", as held in *Ex parte Thibault*, 164 USPQ 666,667 (Bd. App. 1969), "Expressions relating the apparatus to contends thereof during an intended operation are of no significance in determining patentability of the apparatus claim". Furthermore, the method requires a stirrer, while the apparatus does not (although a stirrer is mentioned with regard to an intended use of the apparatus being claimed). The apparatus could be used without the stirrer, as is common in molten metal stirring, by direct action of the magnetic field on the sample. Also, the method could be practiced by holding the

Application/Control Number: 09/921,542

Art Unit: 1723

vessel by hand, instead of by using the "vessel holder" required by the apparatus claims. Also, the method could be practiced by moving the magnet by hand, instead of by using the "magnet driver" required by the apparatus claims.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. An attempt to reach Bruce S. Weintraub was made during the week of 12/2/2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but a recorded message indicated that he would be out of the office until 12/9/2002. Another attempt was made to during the week of 12/9/2002, but a new message said Mr. Weintraub would be out of the office until 12/16/2002.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1723

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David L. Sorkin whose telephone number is 703-308-1121. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 -5:30 Mon.-Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L. Walker can be reached on 703-308-0457. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

David Sorkin

December 12, 2002

CHARLES E. COOLEY PRIMARY EXAMINER